arXiv:1608.00693v1 [physics.class-ph] 2 Aug 2016

A Necessary and Sufficient Condition for Having Independent TE and TM Modes in an Anisotropic Waveguide

W. Jiang,[1,*] J. Liu,[1,†] Q. Li,[2] T. Xia,[3] Y. H. Xu,[4] N. Liu,[1] and Q. H. Liu[5,‡]

[1]*Institute of Electromagnetics and Acoustics, and Department of Electronic Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China*

[2]*School of Science, Beijing Technology and Business University, Beijing 100048, China*

[3]*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Guizhou University of Finance and Economics, Guiyang 550025, China*

[4]*School of Finance, Nanjing Audit University, Jiangsu 211815, China*

[5]*Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Duke University, Durham, NC 27708 USA*

(Dated: August 3, 2016)

Abstract

Whether there exist independent transverse electric (TE) and transverse magnetic (TM) modes in a metallic waveguide filled with an anisotropic medium is a fundamental question in electromagnetics waveguide theory, but so far no definitive answers have been published. This paper establishes a necessary and sufficient condition for having independent TE and TM modes in a waveguide filled with a homogeneous lossless anisotropic medium based on both waveguide theory in electromagnetics and basic knowledge in mathematics. Moreover, for the independent TE modes, we prove the propagation constants obtained from both the longitudinal scalar magnetic field stimulation and the transverse vector electric field stimulation are the same; for the independent TM modes, the propagation constants obtained from both the longitudinal scalar electric field stimulation and the transverse vector magnetic field stimulation are the same. This necessary and sufficient condition is a new theoretical result in electromagnetic waveguide theory, and is expected to be valuable for the design of waveguides filled with anisotropic media.

Keywords: Waveguide problem, independent TE modes, independent TM modes, necessary and sufficient condition for independent TE and TM modes.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electromagnetic waveguide is one of the most important source-free devices in physics and engineering. It guides electromagnetic waves along the longitudinal (z) direction with little loss. For example, waveguide antennas can conceive multicolor coherent manipulation schemes of individual emitters [1].

According to the waveguide theory in electromagnetics [2, 3], it is well-known that there exist independent TE (transverse electric) modes and TM (transverse magnetic) modes in a metallic (perfect electric conductor) waveguide filled with a homogeneous lossless isotropic medium. Moreover, for the independent TE modes, we know that these independent TE modes can be stimulated by employing longitudinal scalar magnetic field h_z, which has to solve an eigenvalue problem about Laplace operator with a Neumann boundary condition, and can also be stimulated by employing transverse vector electric field $\mathbf{e}_t$, which needs to solve an eigenvalue problem about curl-curl operator with a Dirichlet boundary condition. Similarly, for the independent TM modes, we can use longitudinal scalar electric field e_z to stimulate them, which has to solve an eigenvalue problem about the Laplace operator with a Dirichlet boundary condition, and can also use transverse vector magnetic field $\mathbf{h}_t$ to stimulate them, which needs to solve an eigenvalue problem about the curl-curl operator with two Neumann boundary conditions.

If the metallic waveguide is filled with a homogeneous lossless anisotropic medium, under what conditions it will have independent TE modes and TM modes? This question is both interesting and fundamental for electromagnetic wave field theory, but it has not been answered in literature. In general, if the medium in the waveguide is fully anisotropic, independent TE modes and TM modes cannot exist in this waveguide because they are coupled together. How about the anisotropic medium along the xy plane only? The answer is that only under some special conditions, there exist independent TE modes and TM modes. This paper finds and proves a necessary and sufficient condition for having independent TE modes and TM modes in an anisotropic waveguide. To prove this necessary and sufficient condition, we need to use electromagnetic theory and basic knowledge in mathematics, for example, linear algebra theory [4], mathematical analysis [5], some identities in field theory [6], simple complex number theory [7], etc.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Sec. 2, we review the governing equations for

waveguide problem according to the waveguide theory. In Sec. 3, we establish a necessary condition for having independent TE modes and TM modes in the waveguide based on both waveguide theory in electromagnetics and basic mathematics. In Sec. 4, we prove that the necessary condition established by us is also a sufficient condition. Finally, based on the conclusion in Sec. 3 and Sec. 4, we propose the necessary and sufficient condition for having independent TE modes and TM modes in the waveguide filled with a homogenous lossless anisotropic medium.

2. GOVERNING EQUATIONS FOR WAVEGUIDE PROBLEM

Let Γ be the cross section of the metallic (PEC) waveguide; let $\hat{n}$ be the outward normal unit vector on the PEC boundary $\partial\Gamma$ of the cross section Γ. As usual, the cross section Γ is bounded. Because of the complexity of the cross section Γ, the boundary $\partial\Gamma$ may be not connected, i.e., there are multiple PEC walls (for example, inner and outer conductors of a coaxial cable). In this case, there exist TEM modes. In practical applications, waveguide walls are often made of PEC, with the notable exception of dielectric waveguides that are unbounded.

The waveguide problem is a so-called 2.5-dimensional problem, because the medium in the waveguide is two-dimensional (thus invariant in the z direction), while the electromagnetic field in the waveguide is three-dimensional. This paper only treats the waveguide problem filled with a homogenous lossless anisotropic medium. As a waveguide filled with a fully anisotropic medium does not support independent TE and TM modes, we suppose that the permittivity and permeability tensors are of the following form:

$$\overline{\overline{\epsilon}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t & 0 \\ 0 & \epsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 + c_1 j \\ b_1 - c_1 j & d_1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

$$\overline{\overline{\mu}} = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t & 0 \\ 0 & \mu_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t = \begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 + c_2 j \\ b_2 - c_2 j & d_2 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where both $\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}$ and $\overline{\overline{\mu}}$ are constant matrices. Because the medium considered here is lossless, we assume that $\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}$ and $\overline{\overline{\mu}}$ are two positive definite Hermitian matrices [8], i.e.,

$$\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t^{\dagger} = \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t, \quad \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{\dagger} = \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t, \quad \epsilon_{zz} > 0, \quad \mu_{zz} > 0,$$

where both $\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t$ and $\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t$ are also two positive definite Hermitian matrices, and the superscript $\dagger$ denotes complex conjugate transpose of a matrix. According to the properties of a positive definite Hermitian matrix, we assume that $a_i > 0,\ d_i > 0\ (i = 1, 2)$.

In a usual waveguide problem, the operating frequency $f > 0$ is given, then $\omega = 2\pi f > 0$. We need to solve for the propagation wavenumber k_z ($k_z > 0$) of several propagation physical modes:

$$\mathbf{E}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{e}(x, y)e^{-jk_z z},\ \mathbf{H}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{h}(x, y)e^{-jk_z z}, \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{e}(x, y)$ and $\mathbf{h}(x, y)$ are two three-dimensional vectors only dependent on the transversal coordinates (x, y), while independent with longitudinal coordinate z. Note that

$$\mathbf{e}(x, y) = \mathbf{e}_t + \hat{z}e_z, \quad \mathbf{h}(x, y) = \mathbf{h}_t + \hat{z}h_z, \tag{4}$$

$$\nabla = \nabla_t + \hat{z}\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \nabla_t = \hat{x}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \hat{y}\frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \tag{5}$$

For the waveguide problem, we need to solve the source-free Maxwell's equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \times \mathbf{E} &= -j\omega\overline{\overline{\mu}}\mathbf{H}, \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{H} &= j\omega\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}\mathbf{E}, \\
\nabla \cdot (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}\mathbf{E}) &= 0, \\
\nabla \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}\mathbf{H}) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have assumed that the time-harmonic factor is $e^{j\omega t}$. Substituting (1-5) into the above Maxwell's equations, we obtain the following partial differential equations (PDEs):

$$\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t = -j\omega\mu_{zz}h_z\hat{z} \tag{6}$$

$$-\hat{z} \times \nabla_t e_z - jk_z\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t = -j\omega\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\mathbf{h}_t \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla_t \times \mathbf{h}_t = j\omega\epsilon_{zz}e_z\hat{z} \tag{8}$$

$$-\hat{z} \times \nabla_t h_z - jk_z\hat{z} \times \mathbf{h}_t = j\omega\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t\mathbf{e}_t \tag{9}$$

$$\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t\mathbf{e}_t) = jk_z\epsilon_{zz}e_z \tag{10}$$

$$\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\mathbf{h}_t) = jk_z\mu_{zz}h_z. \tag{11}$$

Set $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $A^2 = -\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$, where $\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$ is identity matrix. We change $\hat{z}\times$ in equations (7) and (9) into A, then we get

$$\nabla_t e_z + jk_z\mathbf{e}_t = -j\omega A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\mathbf{h}_t, \tag{12}$$

$$\nabla_t h_z + jk_z \mathbf{h}_t = j\omega A \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t. \tag{13}$$

From equations (12) and (13), we can obtain

$$(-\omega^2 A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t - k_z^2 \overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2})\mathbf{e}_t = -jk_z \nabla_t e_z + j\omega A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z, \tag{14}$$

$$(-\omega^2 A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t - k_z^2 \overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2})\mathbf{h}_t = -jk_z \nabla_t h_z - j\omega A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z. \tag{15}$$

Set $N_1 = -\omega^2 A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t - k_z^2 \overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$ and $N_2 = -\omega^2 A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t - k_z^2 \overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$. If $\det(N_1) \neq 0$ and $\det(N_2) \neq 0$, then one has

$$\mathbf{e}_t = -jk_z N_1^{-1} \nabla_t e_z + j\omega N_1^{-1} A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z, \tag{16}$$

$$\mathbf{h}_t = -jk_z N_2^{-1} \nabla_t h_z - j\omega N_2^{-1} A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z. \tag{17}$$

Substituting (16) and (17) into equations (6) and (8) respectively yields

$$k_z \nabla_t \times (N_1^{-1} \nabla_t e_z) - \omega \nabla_t \times (N_1^{-1} A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) = \omega \mu_{zz} h_z \hat{z} \tag{18}$$

$$k_z \nabla_t \times (N_2^{-1} \nabla_t h_z) + \omega \nabla_t \times (N_2^{-1} A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z) = -\omega \epsilon_{zz} e_z \hat{z} \tag{19}$$

In this paper, we treat the waveguide problem with the PEC outer boundary condition. Thus, from the electromagnetic theory [3], we have

$$\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma, \quad \hat{n} \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma.$$

3. NECESSARY CONDITION

It is well-known that the waveguide has independent TE modes if and only if $e_z = 0$ and $h_z \neq 0$, and it has independent TM modes if and only if $h_z = 0$ and $e_z \neq 0$.

For the independent TE modes, we take $e_z = 0$ in equations (18) and (19) respectively, then the necessary condition is

$$-\nabla_t \times (N_1^{-1} A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) = \mu_{zz} h_z \hat{z}, \tag{20}$$

$$\nabla_t \times (N_2^{-1} \nabla_t h_z) = 0. \tag{21}$$

For the independent TM modes, we take $h_z = 0$ in equations (18) and (19) respectively, then the necessary condition is

$$-\nabla_t \times (N_2^{-1} A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z) = \epsilon_{zz} e_z \hat{z}, \tag{22}$$

$$\nabla_t \times (N_1^{-1} \nabla_t e_z) = 0. \tag{23}$$

Set $C^2(\Gamma) = \{\varphi : \varphi \text{ is twice continuous derivative in } \Gamma\}$.

Lemma 1: Suppose that $X_{2\times 2}$ is a nonzero constant matrix, $\nabla_t \times (X\nabla_t\psi) = 0$ in Γ for any scalar field $\psi \in C^2(\Gamma)$ if and only if $X = \Lambda\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$, where Λ is a nonzero constant.

Proof: If $X = \Lambda\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$, then $\nabla_t \times (X\nabla_t\psi) = \nabla_t \times (\Lambda\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}\nabla_t\psi) = \Lambda\nabla_t \times (\nabla_t\psi) = 0$. Conversely, if $\nabla_t \times (X\nabla_t\psi) = 0$ in Γ for any scalar field $\psi \in C^2(\Gamma)$, assume that

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_3 & x_4 \end{bmatrix},$$

then

$$x_3\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + (x_4 - x_1)\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x\partial y} - x_2\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial y^2} = 0,\ \forall\psi \in C^2(\Gamma).$$

Due to the arbitrariness in the choice of the function ψ, we can get $x_3 = x_2 = 0$, and $x_1 = x_4 = \Lambda \neq 0$, which shows that $X = \Lambda\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2} \neq 0$. □

Theorem 1: The matrix N_1 is a scalar matrix if and only if the matrix N_2 is a scalar matrix, and in this case $N_1 = N_2$.

Proof: Because $N_1 = -\omega^2 A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t - k_z^2\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$, $N_2 = -\omega^2 A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t - k_z^2\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$, and $k_z^2\overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}$ has already been a scalar matrix, we only need to prove that $A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t$ is a scalar matrix if and only if $A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t$ is a scalar matrix, and in this case $A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t = A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t$.

$$\begin{aligned} A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 + c_2 j \\ b_2 - c_2 j & d_2 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 + c_1 j \\ b_1 - c_1 j & d_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (b_1 - c_1 j)(b_2 - c_2 j) - a_1 d_2 & d_1(b_2 - c_2 j) - d_2(b_1 + c_1 j) \\ a_1(b_2 + c_2 j) - a_2(b_1 - c_1 j) & (b_1 + c_1 j)(b_2 + c_2 j) - a_2 d_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 + c_1 j \\ b_1 - c_1 j & d_1 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} a_2 & b_2 + c_2 j \\ b_2 - c_2 j & d_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (b_1 - c_1 j)(b_2 - c_2 j) - a_2 d_1 & d_2(b_1 - c_1 j) - d_1(b_2 + c_2 j) \\ a_2(b_1 + c_1 j) - a_1(b_2 - c_2 j) & (b_1 + c_1 j)(b_2 + c_2 j) - a_1 d_2 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

$A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t$ is a scalar matrix if and only if it satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} (b_1 - c_1 j)(b_2 - c_2 j) - a_1 d_2 = (b_1 + c_1 j)(b_2 + c_2 j) - a_2 d_1 \\ d_1(b_2 - c_2 j) - d_2(b_1 + c_1 j) = 0 \\ a_1(b_2 + c_2 j) - a_2(b_1 - c_1 j) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

$A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t$ is a scalar matrix if and only if it satisfies the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} (b_1 - c_1 j)(b_2 - c_2 j) - a_2 d_1 = (b_1 + c_1 j)(b_2 + c_2 j) - a_1 d_2 \\ d_2(b_1 - c_1 j) - d_1(b_2 + c_2 j) = 0 \\ a_2(b_1 + c_1 j) - a_1(b_2 - c_2 j) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

In fact, equations (24) are equivalent to equations (25), because equations (24) and (25) are mutually complex conjugate. Therefore $A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t$ is a scalar matrix if and only if $A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t$ is a scalar matrix, and in this case it is easy to prove that $A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t = A\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t A\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t$. The proof of Theorem 1 is thus completed. □

Hence, based on Lemma 1, if the waveguide has independent TE modes, we must have the conclusion that N_2 in equation (21) is a scalar matrix. Similarly, if the waveguide has independent TM modes, we must have the conclusion that N_1 in equation (23) is a scalar matrix. According to Theorem 1, we know that $N_1 = N_2$. Hence, N_1 and N_2 in equations (20) and (21) are the same; the scalar matrix N_1 and N_2 in the equations (22) and (23) are also the same. Based on the proof process of Theorem 1, we can achieve the necessary condition for the independent TE modes and TM modes in the waveguide, and that is:

Condition $\mathfrak{C}$:

$$\overline{\overline{\epsilon}} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & (b + cj)\epsilon & 0 \\ (b - cj)\epsilon & a^2\epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \overline{\overline{\mu}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & (b - cj)\mu & 0 \\ (b + cj)\mu & a^2\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{26}$$

where $\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}$ and $\overline{\overline{\mu}}$ are two positive definite Hermitian matrices. From the positive definiteness of (26), we conclude that $\epsilon > 0$, $\mu > 0$ and $a^2 - (b^2 + c^2) > 0$ according to linear algebra theory [4].

4. SUFFICIENT CONDITION

In this section, we prove that the above necessary Condition $\mathfrak{C}$ is also a sufficient condition. Suppose that the medium in the waveguide has already satisfies Condition $\mathfrak{C}$, then we can define

$$-\omega^2 \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t = -\omega^2 \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t = k^2 \overline{\overline{I}}_{2\times 2}, \tag{27}$$

where $k^2 = \omega^2\big[a^2 - (b^2 + c^2)\big]\epsilon\mu > 0$. In fact, the above identity (27) can be seen as an operator equation, and $\hat{z}\times$ can be seen as a rotational transformation in the xy plane, which is a linear operator. Below we find the sufficient conditions for the existence of independent TE and TM modes.

4.1 Independent TE Modes

The governing equations for simulating independent TE modes by using longitudinal component h_z reads:

Seek $k_z \in \mathbb{R}$, $h_z \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) = k_t^2 \mu_{zz} h_z = (k^2 - k_z^2)\mu_{zz} h_z \ \text{ in } \Gamma \\ \quad \hat{n} \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Gamma, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where $k_t^2 = k^2 - k_z^2$. Once any eigen-pair (k_z, h_z) is solved in PDE (28), then

$$\mathbf{e}_t = \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z), \quad \mathbf{h}_t = -\frac{jk_z}{k_t^2}\nabla_t h_z.$$

To simulate independent TE modes by using transverse component $\mathbf{e}_t$, the governing equations can be written as:

Find $k_z \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{e}_t \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t \times \big(\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\big) - \omega^2 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t = k_z^2 \big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\big) \ \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ \nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t) = 0 \ \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ \hat{n} \times \mathbf{e}_t = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

Once any eigen-pair $(k_z, \mathbf{e}_t)$ is solved in PDEs (29), then

$$\mathbf{h}_t = \frac{k_z \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)}{\omega}, \quad h_z = \hat{z} \cdot \frac{j\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t}{\omega\mu_{zz}}.$$

For the equations (28) and (29), we achieve the following two important Lemmas.

Lemma 2. If (k_z, h_z) is an eigen-pair of PDE (28), then $(k_z, \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z))$ is also an eigen-pair of PDEs (29).

Proof: Firstly we verify the correctness of the first equation in PDEs (29),

$$\nabla_t \times \left(\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right) - k_z^2(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t) - \omega^2 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\bigg[\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \bigg(\nabla_t \times \big(\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big)\bigg) - k_z^2\big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big) - \omega^2 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\bigg] \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\bigg[\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \bigg(\nabla_t \times \big(\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big)\bigg) + k_z^2\big(\hat{z} \times \nabla_t h_z\big) - \omega^2 \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\bigg] \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\bigg[\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \bigg(\hat{z} \cdot \big(\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big)\bigg) + k_z^2\big(\hat{z} \times \nabla_t h_z\big) - \hat{z} \times (-\omega^2 \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t)\nabla_t h_z\bigg] \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\bigg[- \mu_{zz}^{-1}\hat{z} \times \bigg(\nabla_t\big(\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big)\bigg) + k_z^2\big(\hat{z} \times \nabla_t h_z\big) - k^2\big(\hat{z} \times \nabla_t h_z\big)\bigg] \\
&= -\frac{j\omega\mu_{zz}^{-1}}{k_t^2}\bigg[\hat{z} \times \bigg(\nabla_t\big(\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big)\bigg) - (k_z^2 - k^2)\big(\hat{z} \times \nabla_t(\mu_{zz} h_z)\big)\bigg] \\
&= -\frac{j\omega\mu_{zz}^{-1}}{k_t^2}\bigg[\hat{z} \times \nabla_t\bigg(\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\mu_{zz} h_z\bigg)\bigg] = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Secondly we verify the correctness of the second equation in PDEs (29),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\big) = \nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big) \\
&= -\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big) = -\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \nabla_t h_z\big)\big) \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\bigg(\hat{z} \cdot (\nabla_t \times \nabla_t h_z)\bigg) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

From the first equation in PDEs (29), we have

$$
\epsilon_t \mathbf{e}_t = \frac{1}{\omega^2}\bigg[\nabla_t \times \bigg(\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\bigg) - k_z^2\bigg(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\bigg)\bigg].
$$

Taking the divergence for the above equation, then we arrive at

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t) &= \frac{1}{\omega^2}\nabla_t \cdot \bigg[\nabla_t \times \bigg(\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\bigg) - k_z^2\bigg(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\bigg)\bigg] \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega^2}\nabla_t \cdot \bigg[\nabla_t \times \bigg(\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\bigg)\bigg] - \frac{k_z^2}{\omega^2}\nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\big) = 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which validates the second equation in PDEs (29).

Finally we validate the boundary condition in PDEs (29).

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{n} \times \mathbf{e}_t &= \hat{n} \times \big(\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big) \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \times \big(\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big) \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\bigg(\hat{z}\big(\hat{n} \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z)\big) - \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{z})\bigg)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$= 0,$$

which validates boundary condition in PDEs (29). The proof of Lemma 2 is thus completed. □

Lemma 3. If $(k_z, \mathbf{e}_t)$ is an eigen-pair of PDEs (29), then $(k_z, \hat{z} \cdot \frac{j\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t}{\omega\mu_{zz}})$ is also an eigen-pair of PDE (28).

Proof: Firstly we verify the equation in PDE (28),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\mu_{zz} h_z \\
&= \nabla_t \cdot \big(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t (\hat{z} \cdot \frac{j\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t}{\omega\mu_{zz}})\big) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\mu_{zz}\big(\hat{z} \cdot \frac{j\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t}{\omega\mu_{zz}}\big) \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \left(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t \big(\hat{z} \cdot (\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big)\right) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \left(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \big(\nabla_t \times (\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big)\right) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \left(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \big(\omega^2\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t + k_z^2(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big)\right) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \big(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times (\omega^2\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t)\big) + k_z^2 \nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \big(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times (\omega^2\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t)\big) - k_z^2 \nabla_t \cdot (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t) + (k^2 - k_z^2)\hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \big(\omega^2\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t)\big) + k^2 \hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \big(- \hat{z} \times \omega^2 \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t)\big) + k^2 \hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\left[k^2 \nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\big) + k^2 \hat{z} \cdot \nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t\right] = \frac{jk^2}{\omega}\left[\nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\big) - \nabla_t \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t\big)\right] = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we verify the boundary condition in PDE (28),

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{n} \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t h_z) &= \frac{j}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \nabla_t (\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big) \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot \left(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \big(\nabla_t \times (\mu_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big)\right) \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot \left(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \big(\omega^2\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t + k_z^2(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1}\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big)\right) \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \omega^2\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \mathbf{e}_t - k_z^2(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big) \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times (-\omega^2 \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t)\mathbf{e}_t - k_z^2(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big) \\
&= \frac{j}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot \big(k^2(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t) - k_z^2(\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)\big) = \frac{jk_t^2}{\omega}\hat{n} \cdot (\hat{z} \times \mathbf{e}_t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{jk_t^2}{\omega}\hat{z}\cdot(\hat{n}\times\mathbf{e}_t) = 0.$$

The proof of Lemma 3 is thus completed. □

Based on the conclusion of Lemma 2 and Lemma 3, we can achieve the following.

Theorem 2: If the medium in the waveguide satisfies Condition $\mathfrak{C}$, then there exist independent TE modes in this waveguide, and the propagation constants k_z obtained from employing both the longitudinal scalar magnetic field h_z stimulation and the transverse vector electric field $\mathbf{e}_t$ stimulation are the same.

4.2 Independent TM Modes

The governing equations for simulating independent TM modes by using longitudinal component e_z reads:

Seek $k_z \in \mathbb{R}$, $e_z \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} -\nabla_t\cdot(\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t\nabla_t e_z) = (k^2 - k_z^2)\epsilon_{zz}e_z = k_t^2\epsilon_{zz}e_z \ \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ e_z = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{30}$$

Once any eigen-pair (k_z, e_z) in PDE (30) is solved, then

$$\mathbf{e}_t = -\frac{jk_z}{k_t^2}\nabla_t e_z, \quad \mathbf{h}_t = -\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z}\times(\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t\nabla_t e_z).$$

To simulate independent TM modes by using transverse component $\mathbf{h}_t$, the governing equations can be written as:

Find $k_z \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{h}_t \neq 0$, such that

$$\begin{cases} \nabla_t\times\left(\epsilon_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t\times\mathbf{h}_t\right) - \omega^2\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\mathbf{h}_t = k_z^2\left(\hat{z}\times\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t^{-1}\hat{z}\times\mathbf{h}_t\right) \ \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ \nabla_t\cdot(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\mathbf{h}_t) = 0 \ \text{ in } \Gamma, \\ \hat{n}\times\left(\epsilon_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t\times\mathbf{h}_t\right) = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Gamma, \\ \hat{n}\cdot(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\mathbf{h}_t) = 0 \ \text{ on } \partial\Gamma. \end{cases} \tag{31}$$

Once any eigen-pair $(k_z, \mathbf{h}_t)$ in PDE (31) is solved, then

$$\mathbf{e}_t = -\frac{k_z\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t^{-1}(\hat{z}\times\mathbf{h}_t)}{\omega}, \tag{32}$$

$$e_z = \hat{z}\cdot\frac{\epsilon_{zz}^{-1}\nabla_t\times\mathbf{h}_t}{j\omega}. \tag{33}$$

Similarly, we can obtain the following Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 from equations (30) and (31).

Lemma 4. If (k_z, e_z) is an eigen-pair of PDE (30), then $(k_z, -\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z))$ is also an eigen-pair of PDEs (31).

Proof: About the verification of the first two equations of PDEs (31), this step is the same as the case in the independent TE modes, therefore we omit this step. Next we examine the two boundary conditions in PDEs (31) :

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{n} \times \big(\epsilon_{zz}^{-1} \nabla_t \times \mathbf{h}_t\big) = \hat{n} \times \left(\epsilon_{zz}^{-1} \nabla_t \times \big(-\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z)\big)\right) \\
&= \frac{j\omega\epsilon_{zz}^{-1}}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \times \big(-\hat{z}\nabla_t \cdot (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z)\big) = \frac{j\omega\epsilon_{zz}^{-1}}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \times \hat{z}(k_t^2 \epsilon_{zz} e_z) \\
&= j\omega\hat{n} \times \hat{z} e_z = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

Because $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0,\ e_z = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$, then $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{e}_t = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$ holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{n} \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \mathbf{h}_t) = \hat{n} \cdot \left(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t\big(-\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z)\big)\right) \\
&= -\frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z)\big) = \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times (\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t \nabla_t e_z)\big) \\
&= \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times (\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t)\nabla_t e_z\big) = \frac{j\omega}{k_t^2}\hat{n} \cdot \big(\hat{z} \times (-\frac{k^2}{\omega^2})\nabla_t e_z\big) \\
&= \frac{jk^2}{\omega k_t^2}\hat{z} \cdot (\hat{n} \times \nabla_t e_z) = -\frac{k^2}{\omega k_z}\hat{z} \cdot (\hat{n} \times \mathbf{e}_t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4. □

Lemma 5. If $(k_z, \mathbf{h}_t)$ is an eigen-pair of PDEs (31), then $(k_z, \hat{z} \cdot \frac{\epsilon_{zz}^{-1} \nabla_t \times \mathbf{h}_t}{j\omega})$ is also an eigen-pair of PDE (30).

Proof: About the verification of the equation in PDE (30), this step is the same as the case in the independent TE modes, therefore we omit this step. Next we examine the boundary conditions in PDE (30). According to the equation (32), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{n} \times \mathbf{e}_t = \hat{n} \times \left(-\frac{k_z}{\omega}\overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t^{-1} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{h}_t\right) = \frac{k_z}{\omega}\hat{n} \times \left(\hat{z} \times \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t^{-1} \hat{z} \times \mathbf{h}_t\right) \\
&= \frac{k_z}{\omega}\hat{n} \times \left(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t^{-1} \hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\epsilon}}_t^{-1} \hat{z} \times)\mathbf{h}_t\right) = -\frac{\omega k_z}{k^2}\hat{n} \times \big(\hat{z} \times \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \mathbf{h}_t\big) \\
&= -\frac{\omega k_z}{k^2}\left(\hat{z}(\hat{n} \cdot (\overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \mathbf{h}_t)) - \overline{\overline{\mu}}_t \mathbf{h}_t (\hat{n} \cdot \hat{z})\right) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

By virtue of $\hat{n} \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$, then we have $\hat{n} \times (\mathbf{e}_t + \hat{z} e_z) e^{-jk_z z} = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$. From this equation, then we obtain $\hat{n} \times (\hat{z} e_z) = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$, thus $e_z = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$. In addition, according to

(33), we have

$$\hat{n} \times \hat{z} e_z = \frac{1}{j\omega} \hat{n} \times (\epsilon_{zz}^{-1} \nabla_t \times \mathbf{h}_t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Gamma,$$

therefore we prove $e_z = 0$ on $\partial\Gamma$ again, which completes the proof of Lemma 5. □

Based on the conclusion of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we can achieve the following

Theorem 3: If the medium in the waveguide satisfies Condition $\mathfrak{C}$, then there exist independent TM modes in this waveguide, and the propagation constants k_z obtained from employing both the longitudinal scalar electric field e_z stimulation and the transverse vector magnetic field $\mathbf{h}_t$ stimulation are the same.

5. CONCLUSION

According to the above discussions, we can achieve the following important

Theorem 4: There exist independent TE modes and independent TM modes in the PEC waveguide filled with a homogeneous lossless anisotropic medium if and only if the medium parameters in this waveguide satisfies Condition $\mathfrak{C}$:

$$\begin{cases} \overline{\overline{\epsilon}} = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon & (b+cj)\epsilon & 0 \\ (b-cj)\epsilon & a^2\epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \ \overline{\overline{\mu}} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu & (b-cj)\mu & 0 \\ (b+cj)\mu & a^2\mu & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \mu_{zz} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \epsilon > 0, \mu > 0, \epsilon_{zz} > 0, \mu_{zz} > 0, a^2 - (b^2 + c^2) > 0. \end{cases} \tag{34}$$

Remarks: When the waveguide is filled with a homogenous lossless isotropic medium, then there are independent TE and TM modes in this waveguide. In this case, $a^2 = 1$, $b = 0$, $c = 0$, $\epsilon = \epsilon_{zz} > 0$ and $\mu = \mu_{zz} > 0$. Obviously, the medium parameters have already satisfied the above Condition $\mathfrak{C}$.

Theorem 4 implies that the waveguide has independent TE modes if and only if the waveguide has independent TM modes. The case where the waveguide has independent TE modes but does not have independent TM modes does not exist. Similarly, the case where the waveguide has independent TM modes but does not have independent TE modes also dose not exist.

Future work will demonstrate the applications of this necessary and sufficient condition.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China under Grant 11361013

(Tian Xia), Grant 11101381, and Grant 41390453, and by the Fujian Province Natural Science Foundation under Grant 2013J05060.

---

* jwmathphy@163.com

† liujie190484@stu.xmu.edu.cn

‡ qhliu@duke.edu

[1] Q. Mermillod, T. Jakubczyk, V. Delmonte, A. Delga, E. Peinke J.-M. Gérard, J. Claudon, and J. Kasprzak, Harvesting, Coupling, and Control of Single-Exciton Coherences, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 163903 (2016).

[2] R. E. Collin, *Field theory of guided waves* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1960).

[3] C. A. Balanis, *Advanced Engineering Electromagnetics* (Wiley, New York, 1989).

[4] G. Strang, *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* (Brooks/Cole/Cengage, 2006).

[5] W. Rudin, *Principles of Mathematical Analysis* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1964).

[6] I. T. Adamson, *Introduction to Field Theory* (Courier Corporation, New York, 2007).

[7] R. P. Agarwal, K. Perera and S. Pinelas, *An Introduction to Complex Analysis* (Springer Science & Business Media, 2011).

[8] W. C. Chew, *Waves and Fields in Inhomogeneous Media* (Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1990).